

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,840	10/30/2003	Rainer Weisbrodt	WSP219US	9134	
75	90 09/15/2006		EXAMINER		
Simpson & Simpson PLLC 5555 Main Street			PICKARD, ALISON K		
Williamsville, NY 14221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3673	3673	
			DATE MAILED: 00/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Comments		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/696,840	WEISBRODT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alison K. Pickard	3673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=		action is non-final.				
3)□	,_					
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,7-9,11-15,17 and 19-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21 and 22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	☐ Glaim(s) <u>2-5,7-9,11-13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>17,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
		ologion requirement.				
	on Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[]	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 and alliabilities defined action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attaches	VA)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Unitive of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-5, 7-9, 11-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheesley in view of Hobson.

Sheesley discloses a flat sealing ring having an elastically deformable base ring and a stiffening ring at an inner or outer edge of the base ring. The base ring (see any of Figs. 7-15) comprises a core of elastically deformable material. The cross-section of the core has a center portion and at least one wing. As seen in the figures, the bead (e.g. 24c) and adjacent valleys can comprise the center. A wing (see portion that line 21f points to) extends directly from the center portion and has first and second planar surfaces parallel to the ring plane. The surfaces lie in respective planes. The center section is between the planes and extends beyond them as well. The stiffening ring can be element 22c or plate 30 (e.g. 30i), either of which limits compression. The height of the stiffening ring is les than the greatest height (i.e. bead height) of the base ring. Sheesley does not disclose a protective layer over the base ring. Hobson teaches the use of a protective layer of PTFE over a deformable base ring of a sealing ring to provide chemical and thermal resistance. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ring of Sheesley with the protective layer of Hobson to provide chemical and thermal resistance.

Regarding claims 4, 8, and 12, Sheesley does not disclose the stiffening ring is stainless steel. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is not considered inventive. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the ring of stainless steel.

3. Claims 2-5, 7-9, 11-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moyers (3,195,906) in view of Hobson.

Moyers discloses a sealing ring having an elastically deformable base ring 25 and a stiffening ring 24 at an outer edge. The base ring has a core of elastically deformable material having a cross-section of a center portion and wings. The center portion can be any of 38/40/41, 38a, or 38a/50/38b. The wings extend directly from the center portion and have first and second planar surfaces. The height of the stiffening ring is less than the greatest height of the base ring. Moyers does not disclose a protective layer over the base ring. Hobson teaches the use of a protective layer of PTFE over a deformable base ring of a sealing ring to provide chemical and thermal resistance. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ring of Moyers with the protective layer of Hobson to provide chemical and thermal resistance.

Regarding claims 4, 8, and 12, Moyers does not disclose the stiffening ring is stainless steel. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is not considered inventive. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the ring of stainless steel.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,840 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 17, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Both Moyers and Sheesley disclose first and second wings on respective sides of the center section. The wings have top and bottom surfaces which lie in the second and third planes. And, the core (for example, 38/39 of Moyers) lies between the planes and beyond them because the beads 38/39 forming the core project past the planar surfaces of the "wings".

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673